



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,278	11/25/2003	Alain Poiraud	2937-115	4789
6449	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
	L, FIGG, ERNST & M	VASUDEV	VASUDEVA, AJAY	
1425 K STR	EET, N.W.			
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3617	
		DATE MAIL ED: 02/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,278	POIRAUD, ALAIN		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Ajay Vasudeva	3617		

	Ajay Vasudeva	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FICED 13 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an an tice of Appeal (with a	nendment, affidavit, or other evider opeal fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ce of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 6-8,10,13 and 14.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,9,11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 h ofara ar an 4ha dat	a of filing a Nation of Annual will n	at he entered				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons w	hy the affidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejection	s under appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	claims after entry is below or attac	hed.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the	application in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	449) Paper No(s)					
		Please See Next F	'age ─────				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments and the affidavit have been fully considered, but are not considered persuasive to overcome the rejection set forth previously.

AJAY VASUDEVA

AU 3617